

Appendix 4: Schematic graphs

This document represents a collection of all schematic illustrations used in the book.

Figure 1 (§2.2.1): The conceptual space of participant roles and a semantic map for Epena Pedee core cases




Figure 2 (§2.3): The conceptual structure of purposes



Figure 4 (§3.1.1): The anatomy of a construction and formal (gestalt) features of English finite purpose clauses




Figure 17 (§3.4.2): Conceptual space for typical dative functions (adapted from Haspelmath 2003: 213)





Figure 18 (§3.4.2): Functions of the Dative case in Ik and Kanuri (adapted from Heine 1991: 131)*



*Functions which are not printed in bold letters are confined to Ik.

Figure 27 (§3.6.1). Co-reference patterns of agentive avertive subjects in the BNC (N=47)

- 
- (a) Subject not co-referential with any participant in the matrix clause (38.3%)
*Joe was still careful to keep their meetings secret [lest **some kind friend** wrote about them to Terry].* (BNC G16 2385)
- (b) Subject co-referential with matrix patient (34%)
*He cut the remark out of the final programme [lest **it** should offend the listeners].* (BNC BLY 1232)
- (c) Subject co-referential with matrix agent (23.4%)
*I relinquish my right to redeem [lest **I** impair my own inheritance].* (BNC KNA 128)
- (d) Subject co-referential with an oblique role in the matrix (4.3%)
They should never fly together in the same plane lest it (crash and) kill both heir and second-in-line to the throne. (BNC A7H 667)